Passive House Strengthens the Bottom line



NESEA Conference November 3, 2016



One City: Built to Last

What is

Case Study: Knickerbocker Commons Affordable Housing

Passive House?

A building constructed to "Passive House" standards must

meet strict energy efficiency

criteria for its insulation, space heating and cooling, and primary energy demand within the building. These standards require minimizing heating and cooling loads through substantial insulation; the "passive" use of solar heat and internal heating sources, such as people and electrical equipment, to heat the building; solar shading to cool the building, and heat recovery systems for space heating that is required. Because the building is essentially airtight, a continuous supply of low volume filtered fresh air must also be supplied to living and working spaces, and stale air regularly exhausted from spaces with high-efficiency heat exchange to minimize heating losses. Passive House standards can be applied to both new construction and renovations. For the renovation of existing buildings, the performance standard is slightly more lenient, but still

results in a roughly 90 percent

reduction in average heating and cooling energy usage and up to a 75 percent reduction

In primary energy usage. A Passive House building can

also be any type of building.

including an apartment building, a school, an office building,

a factory, a supermarket, or a

single-family house

803 Knickerbocker Avenue, Brooklyn Architect: Chris Benedict, R.A. Owner: Ridgewool Bushwick Senior Citizen's Council General Contractor: Galaxy Construction Construction Cost: \$180/square foot No. of Units: 24



Knickerbocker Commons, the first mid-sized apartment building designed to Passive House standards in the United States

Knickerbocker Commons, a six-story residential building containing 24 units of affordable housing, is the country's first mid-sized apartment building to conform to Passive House design standards. To achieve the strict Passive House standards, each rental unit in Knickerbocker Commons has its own ventilation system and small radiators for heating and airtight window air conditioning units for cooling. In addition, the building features triple-paned windows and a sculpted exterior that shade windows from the sun in the summer and maximize exposure in the winter. According to the project's architect, Chris Benedict, the building will use 85 percent less energy than is typically required to heat a New York City apartment building in the winter.

The apartment is located in the Bushwick neighborhood of Brooklyn and was developed through HPD's Low Income Rental Program. Of the 24 units, six units will be rented to households earning up to 30 percent of Area Median Income (AMI), five units will be rented to households earning up to 50 percent of AMI, 12 units will be rented to households earning up to 60 percent of AMI, and one unit will be set aside for a building superintendent. In addition to the residential units, the project includes almost 5,000 square feet of community facility space.

One City Built to Last



CHALLENGES OF PASSIVE building

- Public Approvals
 - Building Codes

(Window Materials, Building/Laundry/Shaft Ventilation)

- Financing
- Construction Pricing
- Construction Execution



BENEFITS OF PASSIVE building

- Quality of Life
- Innovation
- Environmental
- Economic



A COMPARISON OF TYPICAL ANNUAL maintenance & operational expenses



IMPACT ON FUNDING of 50% reduction in gas and electric costs



CASE studies

	extra	credit	unit	amount	\$/sf
ITEMS THAT CHANGE FOR PASSIVE HOUSE					
windows fiberglass instead of aluminum	\$500		157	\$78,500	\$2.16
ranges - electric instead of gas-no gas piping		(\$400)	25	(\$10,000)	(\$0.28)
eifs insulation instead of brick at façade		(\$10)	11,912	(\$119,120)	(\$3.28)
ac covers/sun screens	\$200		157	\$31,400	\$0.87
ac structure bar	\$5		157	\$785	\$0.02
reduced heating stystem		(\$1,750)	24	(\$42,000)	(\$1.16)
erv instead of typ exhaust only	\$750		24	\$18,000	\$0.50
insulation completely under slab	\$5		6708	\$33,540	\$0.92
R 40 at roof instead of R30	\$1.50		6708	\$10,062.00	\$0.28
credit for int insulatrion		(\$0.75)	11912	(\$8,934.00)	(\$0.25)
					\$0.00
					\$0.00
total				(\$7,767.00)	(\$0.21)





Knickerbocker Commons

EIFS Shading Functionality





Mennonite United Revival Apartments

Exterior











Usage and Fuel Summary

Export -

Ξ

	Full Year 2014 - Owner 🔯	Heating	Cooling	Baseload	Usage	Rate	Spending	# of Accounts
•	Electricity (kWh)	7,820	0.00	51,587	59,406	\$0.2028	\$12,047	1
•	Gas (therms)	1,443	0.00	2,344	3,787	\$1.10	\$4,171	1
	Total Energy (mmBTU)	171	0.00	410	581	\$27.90	\$16,218	2
►	Water (kGallons)	0.00	0.00	1,048	1,048	\$12.70	\$13,312	1
	Total Utilities						\$29,530	3







ILLUSTRATIVE PROJECT: 100 units with ELLA financing

Illustrative Project Standard Construction HPD /HDC ELLA	6-			Illustrative Project Passive Construction HPD /HDC ELLA			
SOURCES AND USES				SOURCES AND USES			
CONSTRUCTION SOURCES		per DU	% of total	CONSTRUCTION SOURCES		per DU	% of total
HDC 1st Mortgage	\$17,084,533	\$169,154	47.23%	HDC 1st Mortgage	\$18,233,303	\$180,528	47.29%
HDC 2nd Mortgage	\$6,565,000	\$65,000	18.15%	HDC 2nd Mortgage	\$6,565,000	\$65,000	17.03%
HPD 3rd Mortgage	\$5,300,753	\$52,483	14.65%	HPD 3rd Mortgage	\$6,090,417	\$60,301	15.80%
Reserves Funded at Conversion	\$460,000	\$4,554	1.27%	Reserves Funded at Conversion	\$450,000	\$4,455	1.17%
LIHTC Equity	\$2,577,154	\$25,516	7.12%	LIHTC Equity	\$2,750,442	\$27,232	7.13%
Deferred Developer's Fee	\$4,183,297	\$41,419	11.57%	Deferred Developer's Fee	\$4,465,755	\$44,215	11.58%
Total Construction Sources	\$36,170,737	\$358,126	100.00%	Total Construction Sources	\$38,554,917	\$381,732	100.00%
GAP				GAP	÷		
PERMANENT SOURCES		per DU	% of total	PERMANENT SOURCES		per DU	% of total
HDC 1st Mortgage	\$740,000	\$7,327	2.05%	HDC 1st Mortgage	\$2,010,000	\$19,901	5.21%
HDC 2nd Mortgage	\$6,565,000	\$65,000	18.15%	HDC 2nd Mortgage	\$6,565,000	\$65,000	17.03%
HPD 3rd Mortgage	\$11,466,110	\$113,526	31.70%	HPD 3rd Mortgage	\$10,887,327	\$107,795	28.24%
LIHTC Equity	\$17,181,025	\$170,109	47.50%	LIHTC Equity	\$18,336,282	\$181,547	47.56%
Deferred Developer's Fee	\$218,602	\$2,164	0.60%	Deferred Developer's Fee	\$756,308	\$7,488	1.96%
Total Permanent Sources	\$36,170,737	\$358,126	100.00%	Total Permanent Sources	\$38,554,917	\$381,732	100.00%
GAP				GAP	(0)		
USES		per DU	% of total	USES		per DU	% of total
Acquisition Cost	\$1	\$0	0.00%	Acquisition Cost	\$1	\$0	0.00%
Construction Cost	\$26,250,000	\$259,901	72.57%	Construction Cost	\$28,087,500	\$278,094	72.85%
Soft Cost	\$5,272,628	\$52,204	14.58%	Soft Cost	\$5,505,466	\$54,510	14.28%
Developer's Fee	\$4,648,108	\$46,021	12.85%	Developer's Fee	\$4,961,950	\$49,128	12.87%
TOTAL USES	\$36,170,737	\$358,126	100.00%	TOTAL USES	\$38,554,917	\$381,732	100.00%



ILLUSTRATIVE PROJECT: 100 units with ELLA financing

penses				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Annual Expense	Exp per rm/du	
Suppl/Clean/Exterm		\$35,400	\$100	per room
Heating		\$106,200	\$300	per room
Electricity		\$58,056	\$164	per room
Water & Sewer		\$106,200	\$300	per room
Repairs		\$65,650	\$650	per unit
Legal		\$15,150	\$150	per unit
Accounting/Auditing		\$14,000	\$14,000	per project
Super & Maint Staff		\$120,000	\$1,321	per unit
Number of:				
F/T super(s)	1		\$50,000	annual + fring
porters	2		\$35,000	annual + fring
Elev Maint & Repairs	2	\$13,200	\$6,600	per elevator
Management Fee		\$56,431	6.00%	of EGI
Fire and Liab Ins		\$60,600	\$600	per unit
LIHTC Credit Monitoring		\$7,500		
Total Expenses		\$658,387	\$1,860	per room
			\$6,519	per unit
Real estate taxes ((420c)	\$0	\$0	per room
Replacement Reserve		\$25,250	\$250	per unit
Total Annual Exp		\$683,637	\$6,769	per unit
			\$1,931	per room

Illustrative Project Passive Construction HPD /HDC ELLA

Filmanaa					
Expenses		Annual	Exp per		
		Expense	rm/du		
Suppl/Clean/Exterm		\$35,400	\$100	per room	
Heating		\$21,240	\$60	per room	
Electricity		\$58,056	\$164	per room	
Water & Sewer		\$95,580	\$270	per room	
Repairs		\$65,650	\$650	per unit	
Legal		\$15,150	\$150	per unit	
Accounting/Auditing		\$14,000	\$14,000	per project	
Super & Maint Staff		\$120,000	\$1,321	per unit	
Number of:					
F/T super(s)	1		\$50,000	annual + fring	re
porters	2		\$35,000	annual + fring	ge
Elev Maint & Repairs	2	\$13,200	\$6,600	per elevator	
Management Fee		\$56,431	6.00%	of EGI	
Fire and Liab Ins		\$60,600	\$600	per unit	
LIHTC Credit Monitoring		\$7,500			
Total Expenses		\$562,807	\$1,590	per room	
			\$5,572	per unit	
Real estate taxes	(420c)	\$0	\$0	per room	
Replacement Reserve		\$25,250	\$250	per unit	
Total Annual Exp		\$588,057	\$5,822	per unit	
			\$1,661	per room	



PASSIVE rehab

Property	Regulatory Entity	Building	Total Units # of Studios	Ones	Twos	Threes	Super	
Stockholm Manor	HFA	420 Stockholm	35		17	17		1
Linden Court	HCR	150 Linden	40		3	27	9	1
Knickerbocker Square	HCR	557 Knickerbocker	43			32	10	1
75 Linden	HPD	75 Linden Street	12		4	5	3	
104-110 Grove Street	HPD	104 Grove	23			16	6	1
		110 Grove	23			17	6	
116-120 Grove Street	HPD	116 Grove	16		2	14		
		120 Grove	16		2	14		
93-95 Stockholm Street	HPD	93 Stockholm	14		6	7		1
		95 Stockholm	0					
Harman Plaza	HPD	160 Harman	14			14		
		173 Harm	14			13		1
		181 Harman	14			14		
			264		34	149	34	5















20

PRH UTILITY analysis

	Water	Gas	Electric	Oil	Total
2015 Utilities	\$308,231.17	\$164,828.00	\$112,691.15	\$131,736.33	\$717,486.65
Passive House Rehab Estimates	\$246,584.9 <mark>4</mark>	\$55,202.40	\$98,158.88	\$16,477.15	\$416,423.36

Est. Annual Savings

Supportable Debt based on savings

Supportable Debt (per unit)

ASSUMPTIONS

20% Water savings 38kBTU/sqft/yr or 70% savings from current usage 10% DHW savings \$301,063.29 \$4,184,569.37 \$15,850.64



The 3BL of Passive Building

